



ఆంధ్ర ప్రదేశ్ రాజ పత్రము

THE ANDHRA PRADESH GAZETTE

PART-II EXTRAORDINARY

PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

No. 68]

HYDERABAD, TUESDAY, APRIL 21, 2009.

NOTIFICATIONS BY HEADS OF DEPARTMENTS, Etc.

—x—

GENERAL NOTIFICATIONS

HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH: HYDERABAD

NOTICE ISSUED TO SMT. R. RAJANI, TYPIST HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
HYDERABAD—FURTHER REPRESENTATION CALLED FOR.

R.O.C.No. 44/2006-Estt.(Inq.). Whereas disciplinary proceedings were initiated against you, Smt. R. Rajani, Typist, High Court of A.P., Hyderabad—Vide RDE (HCS) No.44/2006-Estt.(Inq.) dt. 01-07-2006 for your unauthorised absence from duty from 1-3-2006 onwards without submitting leave application; whereas the report of inquiry finding you guilty of the charge framed against you was sent to your communicated address at U.S.A., for your information and remarks; and whereas the said report of enquiry was returned unserved. Subsequently notice dt. 27-1-2009, sent by 'Air Mail' to your communication address at U.S.A., has been issued directing you to show cause within 15 days from the date of receipt of this notice as to why the proposed punishment of removal from service cannot be imposed upon you by way of penalty. But the said cover containing the above notice is returned unnerved by the postal authorities of U.S.A., with an endorsement that "Reason Checked – Attempted Not known".

Take notice that the report of inquiry will be accepted by the undersigned and further action will be taken as per rules, if you fail to collect the copy of the notice and inquiry report from the office of this Registry within ten days from the date of publication of this notice and to submit your written representation, if any, within ten days thereafter.

Hyderabad,
09-04-2009.

Sd/-

*Registrar (Administration)
High Court of A.P.
Hyderabad.*

To

Smt. R. Rajani, Typist, High Court of A.P., Hyderabad

Leave Address:

C/o. Sridevi Chellapalli, 3470, Cheechako Drive, Reeno Nevada,
89509-U.S.A. (By Air Mail).

SMT. R. RAJANI, TYPIST, HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH HYDERABAD—WILLFUL ABSENCE FROM DUTY WITHOUT PROPER LEAVE FOR CONTINUOUS PERIOD OF OVER ONE YEAR AND FAILED TO SUBMIT REPLY TO THE NOTICE-REGULAR DEPARTMENTAL ENQUIRY UNDER RULE 20 OF THE ANDHRA PRADESH CIVIL SERVICES (CLASSIFICATION, CONTROL AND APPEAL) RULES, 1991-CHARGE HELD PROVED -REPORT OF THE INQUIRY OFFICER COMMUNICATED -FAILED TO SUBMIT WRITTEN REPRESENTATION—NOTICE PROPOSING PENALTY OF REMOVAL FROM SERVICE.

Ref :-1. Deptl. Proc.Order R.D.E. (H.C.S.) No.44/2006-Estt., dt. 01-07-2006.

2. High Court's Memo.R.D.E. (H.C.S) No.44/2006-Estt.

dt.30-12-2006. (Apptt. of Inquiring Authority)

3. Report of the Inquiry Officer dt. 29-11-2007

4. High Court's Proc.Order R.D.E (H.C.S.)

No.44/2006-Estt. dt. 28-01-2008.

R.D.E. (H.C.S) No.44/2006-Estt., Smt. R. Rajani, Typist, working in B Section, was granted leave for 03 years 03 months from 01-12-2002 to 28-02-2006. After expiry of the leave, she neither reported to duty nor sent any extension letter beyond 1-3-2006 and willfully absent from duty there onwards.

Thereupon, disciplinary proceedings were initiated against the individual and the following charge was framed against her:

CHARGE : That you, Smt. R.Rajani, Typist, High Court of Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad were initially granted Leave for 03 years and 03 months i.e., from 01-12-2002 to 28-02-2006, did not join duty after expiry of the leave and unauthorisedly absented yourself from 01-03-2006 without submitting any leave application to the registry till date, which act is in contravention of the instructions issued in the office circular ROC No.2396/1996-Estt.6, dated 19-04-1996 and amounts to misconduct within the meaning of Rule 3 of A.P. Civil Services (Conduct) Rules, 1964.

The charge sheet dt.1-7-2006 was sent to the individual 'by Air Mail' to her leave address at U.S.A. The said cover was returned unserved for want of correct address. Thereupon, Sri E. Umamaheswara Rao, Registrar (Protocol), High Court of Andhra Pradesh, who was appointed as Inquiring Authority to inquire

into the charge framed against the delinquent employee, has submitted report dated 29-11-2007 in the matter. The docket proceedings of the enquiry shows that the charged employee remained absent and the notices sent to her were returned unserved. As contemplated under rule 42 (iii) of the A.P. Civil Services (Classification, Control and Appeal) Rules, 1991, a notice was published in A.P.gazette Part-II Extraordinary, dated 25-11-2006 and the enquiry was proceeded further setting her ex parte and held that the charged employee is guilty of the charge framed against her.

A copy of the report of the inquiry officer was sent to the charged employee- vide proceedings order dt.28-01-2008, for submitting written representation, if any, by her within 15 days. The charged employee did not choose to submit her written representation so far.

Considering the charge which is held proved against the charged Government employee Smt. R.Rajani, Typist, High Court of Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad, she is hereby directed to show cause within 15 days from the date of receipt of this notice as to why the proposed punishment of removal from service cannot be imposed upon her by way of penalty.

Hyderabad,
27-01-2009.

Sd/—

*Registrar (Administration),
High Court of A.P.,
Hyderabad.*

To
Smt. R. Rajani, Typist, High Court of A.P., Hyderabad
Leave Address:
C/o. Sridevi Chellapalli, 3470, Cheechako Drive, Reeno Nevada,
89509-U.S.A. (By Air Mail).

—X—